

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N	
10/738,342	12/17/2003	Guy Lambiaso	7784-000380 DVA 9704	
²⁷⁵⁷² HARNESS, DI	7590 04/18/2007 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828	•	CHANG, RICK KILTAE		
BLOOMFIELI	O HILLS, MI 48303	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before	the	Filing	of an	Ap	peal	Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/738,342	LAMBIASO, GUY		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Rick K. Chang	3726		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Rick K. Chang	3726	:				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 03 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO	will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reig	acted claims					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of inlany reju	scied cialinis.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:	inpliant / interfament (1 102 024).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alnon-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,		-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) chieved							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ce because:				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		\sim				
3. Other:	(RICHARD CHAI	VG.				
		PRIMARY EXAMI	NER				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner maintains his rejections. Applicants' through opening is not a through opening since the opening do not go through the airplane itself.